BioCompute Workshop for Reviewers: Tool for Communicating Sequencing Analysis

Raja Mazumder, Ph.D. Principal Investigator Professor, GW Chair, BioCompute Executive Steering Committee <u>mazumder@gwu.edu</u>

Jonathon Keeney, Ph.D. Co-Investigator Assistant Research Professor, GW Managing Director, BioCompute Executive Steering Committee <u>keeneyjg@gwu.edu</u> Hadley King, M.S. Operational Lead Chair, BioCompute Technical Steering Committee <u>hadley_king@gwu.edu</u>

> Janisha Patel, M.S. Training Lead Technical Writer janishapatel@gwu.edu

- 1. Please turn off video
- 2. Please mute
- 3. Unmute for questions or post in chatbox
- 4. Please use Internet Explorer for compatibility with Adobe Connect

Thank you!

Agenda

- Introduction to BioCompute (20min) Q/A(5min)
- User Story: Athena DDL Pipeline (10min) Q/A (5min)
- Mock Evaluation of a Submission (10min) Q/A (5min)
- Usage Examples
 - Usability Domain (10min)
 - Error Domain (10min)
 - Extension Domain (10min)
- Use Case Gathering (20 min)
- Q&A (15min)



- 1. Introduce BioCompute Objects (BCO) for computational analysis
- 2. Explain BioCompute vocabulary
- 3. Introduce the application and utility of BCOs

4. Demonstrate how BCOs would be used in the context of FDA review of NGS data in regulatory submissions through a mock evaluation of a submission and additional use case examples.

5. Provide BioCompute resources for future reference



Introduction to BioCompute



NGS Data Flows



Introduction to BioCompute 刘

Challenge: Workflow Communication



> my_program -i input_file1 -parameter1 value1 -parameter2 value2 -o out_file

Analogy: wet lab experiments





Wasted Time and Money





Introduction to BioCompute

This is not a Guidance Document

DRAFT: Please provide comments and suggestions

Submitting Next Generation Sequencing Data to the Division of Antiviral Products Experimental Design and Data Submission

Acceptable Next Generation Sequencing Platforms

The division will accept Next Generation sequencing data generated from most standard Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) platforms provided the sponsor supplies the appropriate details for the sequencing platform, the protocols to be used for sample preparation, the raw NGS data, and the methods used to analyze the data. We recommend communicating with the division early in the process and providing these details prior to submitting the sequencing data. Please consider the following information when preparing your NGS submissions.

Data Transfer

1. Portable hard drive

- a. The raw NGS data in the fastq format should be sent to the division on a secured, portable hard drive following the guidelines outlined in this Guidance: <u>http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/FormsSubmissionRequirement</u> s/ElectronicSubmissions/UCM163567.pdf
- b. Please note that only the raw NGS data, the frequency table, and a table of contents should be contained on the hard drive. Additional files, such as those with a .exe extension may result in rejection of the submission. In addition, if the hard drive is password protected (not required or recommended at this time), please consult with the division ahead of time to ensure that the password is provided to the appropriate personnel in the document room.
- c. All additional data should be submitted via the electronic document gateway.

A solution should...

- Be human readable: like a GenBank sequence record
- Be machine readable: structured information with predefined fields and associated meanings of values
- Contain enough information to understand the computational pipelines, interpret information, maintain records, and reproduce experiments
- Be immutable: ensure information has not been altered



Introduction to BioCompute

Solution: BioCompute

IEEE approved standard for communicating bioinformatic analysis workflows

- Acts like an envelope for entire pipeline
 - Can incorporate other standards (e.g. CWL)
- Built in collaboration with the FDA
- Human and machine readable
 - Written in JSON
- Categorized by domains
- Adheres to and encourages F.A.I.R. principles
 - Fully open source
- Adaptable
 - e.g. to other schemas
- Preserves data provenance
- Unique IDs for versioning

Introduction to BioCompute



Key Features of a BCO

- Abstract away workflow based on commonalities
 - Platform/tool/protocol independent
- Usability Domain
 - Free text description
- Data provenance
 - Data manifest, track files from beginning to end
 - Track user attribution (authored by, contributed by, reviewed by, etc.)
- Validation Kit
 - Error Domain + IO Domain
 - Sanity check: given the input files and the inherent error, is the output this analysis claims to have gotten valid?
- Extensible
 - Extension Domain
 - Open source repository
- Embargo Domain
 - Prevent others from viewing a BCO for any amount of time





Introduction to BioCompute

Top Level BCO ID: https://w3id.org/biocompute/1.3.0/examples/FDA-NA-TestsBreastCancer Checksum: 06DACE70679F35BA87A3D06FFFED4ED24A4F5B8C2571264C37E5F1B3ADE04A31 Specification: https://w3id.org/biocompute/1.3.0/		Met	adata
<pre>Provenance Domain Name: FDA-NA-TestsBreastCancer Version: 1.0 Review: approved: Natalie Abrams, NIH ; createdBy Created: 2018-05-24T09:40:17-0500 Modified: 2018-06-21T14:06:14-0400 Embargo: Start: 2000-09-26T14:43:43-0400 End: 2000-09-26T14:43:45-0400 Contributors: Janisha Patel (http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8824-4637), George Washington University; createdBy Dara Baker, George Washington University; authoredBy License: https://spdx.org/licenses/CC-BY-4.0.html> licensing is inferred by OncoMX licensin</pre>	, modifiedB; 3. Pub=	Exte dom	ension nain
Usability Domain FDA-approved or cleared nucleic acid-based human biomarker tests for breast cancer The .xlsx file FDA-NA-TestsBreastCancer.xlsx contains FDA-approved human biomarker tests for Each row represents one gene linked to its respective test. Genes are identified by UniP Tests are distinguished by manufacturer, FDA submission ID(s), clinical trial ID(s) and PubMe	preast cance rotKB, Hgncl d ID(s).	er. Name, EDRI	N number
Extension Domain Dataset Extension:	Usa	bility	domain
Comment: Unique column headers for the dataset Test_disease_use: FDA-listed disease corresponding to approved test test trade name: FDA-listed product name			
<pre>test_manufacturfeer: FDA-Listed protect name test_manufacturfeer: FDA-Listed patent company for the approved test sest_submission: FDA submission ID(s), web links; FDA-Listed patent ID associated with test test_is_panel: A single biomarker or biomarker panel? Y for yes, N for no gene_symbol: HGNC_ID from https://www.genenames.org uniprotKB_ac: UniProtKB from https://www.uniprot.org</pre>		Extended and a contract of the second	ension nain
<pre>biomarker_id: Matched to EDRN IDs based on HGNC Name biomarker_origin: Characteristic that makes this a biomarker; molecular abnormalities that o ncit_biomarker: Searchable terms for gene/Biomarker from NCI Thesaurus (NCIt)</pre>	an lead to	cancer	
Description Domain Keywords: cancer, breast cancer, biomarker, biomarker test, FDA, UniProtKB, EDRN External References: (Name, Namespace, Ids) PubMed; pubmed; UniProt; accession; EDRN; EDRN number; HGNC; HgncName; GTR; GTR terms; Platform: Manual Pipeline Steps: Step 1: Download FDA-approved tests Description: FDA-approved tests were downloaded a list of FDA-approved or cleared nucleic aci Input List: https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/ProductsandMedicalProcedures/InVitroDiagnosti Output List: ~/FDA-approved-relared-NA-based-tests	d based tes cs∕ucm33071	Deso dom	cription ain
Execution Domain			
Script Driver: manual Software Prerequisites: None	Execution domain		domain
External Data Endpoints: Name In Vitro Diagnostics > Nucleic Acid Based Tests URL https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/ProductsandMedicalProcedures/InVitroDiagnostics/ucm Name NCBI Genetic Testing Registry URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gtr/ Environment Variables: None	330711.htm		
Parametric Domain N/A	Paran	netric	domain
<pre>Input/Output Domain Input Subdomain: Filename: Multiple test files from "Nucleic Acid Based Tests: List of Human Tests" Access Time: 2018-10-10711:34:02-5:00 URI: https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/ProductsandMedicalProcedures/InVitroDiagnostics/ucm33 Output Subdomain: Filename: FDA-NA-TestsBreastCancer.xlsx Media Type: xlsx/csv Access Time: 2018-10-10711:37:02-5:00 URI: https://outputSubdomainExtended.com/URI: https://outputSubdomainExte</pre>	0711.htm	6202	IO domain
Error Domain	#y10-149202	Frror	domain
			aoman

BioCompute Schema Files

ieee-2791-schema 🚱 https://ope

https://opensource.ieee.org/2791-object/ieee-2791-schema/

Project ID: 116

🗢 24 Commits 🛛 🖌 2 Branches 🔗 3 Tags 🗈 276 KB Files 🗔 276 KB Storage 🧳 1 Release

master	~	ieee-2791-schema	History	Q Find fil	e 坐 🗸		lone 🗸
Update F Joshua G	READN ay aut	1E.md hored 1 month ago			456	83af9	Ĝ
README	t BSD	3-clause "New" or "Revised" License					
Name		Last commit				Last	update
🚸 .gitignore		Creates initial release of BioComp	ute Object Schema in prep fo	or ball		1 y	ear ago
{} 2791object.jsd	on	replaces https://w3id.org/2791/w	ith https://w3id.org/ieee/iee	e-279		1 mo	nth ago
AUTHORS		Update AUTHORS				1 mo	nth ago
CONTRIBUTO	RS	Update CONTRIBUTORS				1 mo	nth ago
LICENSE		Update LICENSE				1 mo	nth ago

BioCompute Schema Files

https://opensource.ieee.org/2791-object/ieee-2791-schema/

Project ID: 116

ieee-2791-schema 😡

🗢 24 Commits 🛛 🖌 2 Branches 🖉 3 Tags 🗈 276 KB Files 🗔 276 KB Storage 🧳 1 Release

master 🗸 🗸	ieee-2791-schema	History Q Find file 🛃 🗸 Clone 🗸
Update README Joshua Gay authe	E.md ored 1 month ago	45683af9 🔓
README TO BSD 3	3-clause "New" or "Revised" License	
Name	Last commit	Last update
🚸 .gitignore	Creates initial release of BioCom	npute Object Schema in prep for ball 1 year ago
{} 2791object.json	replaces https://w3id.org/2791/	/ with https://w3id.org/ieee/ieee-279 1 month ago
AUTHORS	Update AUTHORS	1 month ago
CONTRIBUTORS	Update CONTRIBUTORS	1 month ago
LICENSE	Update LICENSE	1 month ago

Platforms with BioCompute Integration

	ACCESS NAME ORG ADDED BY ID Private test-workflow dnanexus.science sam.westreich workflow-FQ7P7Vj05922F6k6J3b87yQ6
	CREATED 2018-12-10 23:16:23
	🗞 Edit tags
	DRevision:1 CEdit PFork Export + Run Workflow (rev1)
	#- SPEC i WORKFLOW DIAGRAM
	INPUTS OUTPUTS
	file Input 1 REQUIRED O workflow-app-1 file Output 1 REQUIRED O workflow-app-1
	file Input 2 REQUISED & workflow-app-2 file Output 2 REQUISED & workflow-app-2
	precision FDA DNA nexus powering the genomics revolution **
laxy Administration	Galaxy Administration
axy	Analyze Data Workflow Shared Data Admin Help User Using 35.7
tion	Repository Actions v Tool Shed Actions v
150F5	Genome/Exome paired analysis (SNVMix1)
aroups voles	Boxes are red when tools are not available in this repository (this page displays SVG graphics)
<u>uotas</u>	
lata libraries	
tool's configuration emory usage	
<u>obs</u> nstalled tool shed ies	
nd browse tool sheds	
orm definitions cking	
equencers and extern	
equest types ng requests	
ples	FRUJELI

🗧 Ga

Administra

Manage

Manage

 Reload a Profile m Manage Manage reposito Tool shed Search a Form Defin Manage

Sample Tr Manage services Manage Sequenci Find same

Introduction to BioCompute

Server

Data Manage

Security Manage Manage







BioCompute participants



Introduction to BioCompute



BioCompute is a standardized way to communicate an analysis pipeline. BioCompute substantially improves the clarity and reproducibility of an analysis, and can be packaged with other standards, such as the Common Workflow Language. An analysis that is reported in a way that conforms to the BioCompute specification is called a BioCompute Object (BCO). A BCO abstracts the properties of an analysis away from any specific platform, tool or goal. A BCO is broken down into conceptually meaningful "Domains" for capturing relevant information about the analysis pipeline. Major features of the BioCompute project include a "Usability Domain" for free text description by the researcher, strong data provenance and user attribution, a "Validation Kit" for quickly verifying the output of an analysis, highly extensible through a user-defined "Extension Domain," and an "Embargo Domain" for sensitive analyses not to be made public yet. See the About page for more information.

The open source repository for the project can be accessed here. Several tools have been developed to read or write an analysis as a BCO. The most popular ones are below. Other resources can be found here.





https://biocomputeobject.org/

Introduction to BioCompute

BioCompute Portal



Welcome to the BCO Editor, a platform-free, web-based form for creating BioCompute Objects (BCOs). For more information, see the BioCompute Website, the official IEEE standard, and the open source repository for all schema files.

Sign in

janishapatel@gwu.edu
- Password
SIGN IN NOW

Don't have an account? Sign up Forgot Password?

https://portal.aws.biochemistry.gwu.edu/sign-in

BioCompute Object (BCO) App-a-thon

May 14 through October 18 2019

	Beginner Track: Create BCOs	Advanced Track: Create BCO software tools	App-a-thon Launch: May 14, 2019 Challenge details are made available
BCOs and Tools	Complete BCO	Conformance Tool	May 14 – October 18, 2019 Participants create BCOs and BCO tools
Conformance Check* Results ntroduction to BioComp	Ability of an FDA reviewer to understand the BCO	Ability to generate accurate BCO; Ability to conduct conformance check on BCOs	Deadline: October 18, 2019 Evaluation begins Results released: March 2020
	HINE precision	FDA	

Integrating with Other Standards



Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Standard

BioCompute P2791-2020 approved January 2020

https://standards.ieee.org/content/ieee-standards/en/standard/2791-2020.



Introduction to BioCompute

BCO Timeline





User Story

Athena DDL Pipeline







DDL DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY

THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

WASHINGTON, DC

Pipeline:

MK-3682B in **Hepatitis C** (**GT1** or **GT3**) patients who have failed a DAA (Direct Acting Antiviral Regiment)

Proof of Concept:

Mimic real clinical trial FDA submission to determine if BioCompute could facilitate the submission process by:

- Clearly communicating with regulatory agencies
- Aid to show the high-quality sequencing results appropriately



User Story





DDL DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY

THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

WASHINGTON, DC

Methods

- Replicate a real clinical trial using synthetically generated data made to resemble real biological data. Two separate analyses executed to simulate:
 - 1) pharmaceutical submission to the FDA
 - 2) simulate the FDA review
- BioCompute was utilized as the tool for communication of analysis and used for comparison of final results



User Story

Mock Evaluation of a Submission



[Keeney]

Mock Evaluation

Usage Examples



Usability Domain

Comparative abundance of microbial strains associated with diet change in epileptic patients

Step 1 CensuScope – MAPPING ~Manual QC Steps~ Step 2: Hexagon – ALIGNMENT

How should manual QC steps be represented?

```
"bco_id": "http://biocomputeobject.org/BC0 000563",
   "e-taq":"853d1471120527093ef2728417d9f9cc1d7275b5f64ab7396e714ebe5d4b6fb8"
   "bco_spec_version": "1.3.0",-
   "provenance_domain": {
       "name": "Comparative abundance of microbial strains associated with
diet change in epileptic patients",
       "version": "1.0",-
       "license": "https://spdx.org/licenses/CC-BY-4.0.html",
       "created": "2019-12-10T18:30:04.008460",
       "modified": "2019-12-12T20:43:58.007411",-
       "review":
               "status": "reviewed",-
                "reviewer comment": "Approved by GW Staff.",
                "reviewer": {
                   "orcid": "https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8824-4637",-
                   "affiliation": "George Washington University",
                   "contribution": [
                       "curatedBy"
                   "name": "Janisha Patel",
                   "email": "janishapatel@gwu.edu"
               "date": "2019-03-10"
```



Usage Examples

Error Domain: acceptable range of variability

"error domain": {-"empirical error": {¬ "definitions": { 📼 },-"M414T baseLine": {-"percentage": "0.03",-"reads_generated": "4823",-"coverage": "150",-"mutation_call_prob_Athena": "1", "AthenaREADCOUNT": "144", ¬ "AthenaCOVERAGE": "5094",¬ "AthenaPERCENTAGE": "0.02827",-"AthenaQUALITY": "33.16",¬ "AthenaFCOUNT": "66",¬ "AthenaRCOUNT": "78",¬ "AthenaFRSCORE": "0.1388",-"STDEV.P": "0.000865"-},-"M28T baseLine": { 🔤 },¬ "D168Y_baseLine": { 📼 },-"D168A baseLine": { 🚥 },-"S556G baseLine": { 🔤 },-"WT_baseLine": { 🔤 },¬ "M28S baseLine": { 🚥 },-"030R baseLine": { 🚥 },-"C316N baseLine": { 📼 } ¬ "algorithmic error": { -"AthenaFRSCORE_threshold": 0.5, -"AthenaQUALITY": 25,-"AthenaCOVERAGE": 5000-

BioCompute Error Domain is used to evaluate a pipeline's ACCURACY & PRECISION

- Range of outputs that are within a defined tolerance level
- Can be used to optimize or verify algorithm
- Consists of two subdomains: *empirical* and *algorithmic*.



Error Domain: empirical error

	percentage	# of reads	coverage	Athena%	AthenaQUALITY	STDEV.P
D168A_baseLine	0.0005	80		0		0.00025
D168Y_baseLine	0.011	1768	5126	0.01229	33.56	0.000645
M28T_baseLine	0.01	1608	5111	0.00841	34.09	0.000795
M28S_baseLine	0.08	12861	5111	0.06985	33.97	0.005075
Q30R_baseLine	0.0008	129	5163	0.00136	32	0.00028
M414T_baseLine	0.03	4823	5094	0.02827	33.16	0.000865
S556G_baseLine	0	0		0		0
WT_baseLine	0.8677	139497		0.87982		0.00606

Contains empirically determined values such as:

- limits of detectability
- false positive rates
- false negatives rates
- statistical confidence of outcomes



Error Domain: empirical error

	percentage	reads_gene	coverage	AthenaCOVE	AthenaPERCI	AthenaQUAL	STDEV.P
D168A_baseLine	0.0005	80	2.5		0		0.00025
D168Y_baseLine	0.011	1768	55	5126	0.01229	33.56	0.000645
M28T_baseLine	0.01	1608	50	5111	0.00841	34.09	0.000795
M28S_baseLine	0.08	12861	400	5111	0.06985	33.97	0.005075
Q30R_baseLine	0.0008	129	4	5163	0.00136	32	0.00028
M414T_baseLine	0.03	4823	150	5094	0.02827	33.16	0.000865
S556G_baseLine	0	0	0		0		0
WT_baseLine	0.8677	139497	4338.5		0.87982		0.00606



97	4338	.5 0.87982	0.0
"er	ror_d	omain": {¬	
	''emp	irical_error": {-	
		"definitions": { 🚥 },-	
		"M414T_baseLine": {¬	
		▶ "percentage": "0.03",¬	
		<pre>"reads_generated": "4823",¬</pre>	
		▶ "coverage": "150", ¬	
		"mutation call prob Athena":	·"1"
		► "AthenaREADCOUNT": "144", ¬	
		▶ "AthenaCOVERAGE": "5094",¬	
		"AthenaPERCENTAGE": "0.02827	",-
		▶ "AthenaQUALITY": "33.16",¬	
		▶ "AthenaFCOUNT": "66",¬	
		▶ "AthenaRCOUNT": "78",¬	
		▶ "AthenaFRSCORE": "0.1388",¬	
		► "STDEV.P": "0.000865"-	
		},¬	
		"M28T baseLine": {	
		"D168Y baseLine": { 🚥 } –	
		"D168A baseLine": { 🚥 }, -	
		"S556G baseLine": { 🚥 }	
		"WT baseLine": { 🚥 }.¬	
		"M28S_baseLine": { 🚥 } . ¬	
		"030R baseLine": { 🚥 }.¬	
		"C316N baseLine": { 🚥 }-	
	<u>ו</u>		

Can be measured by:

- running the algorithm on multiple data samples of the usability domain
- carefully designed in-silico data.

For example:

In-silico samples run through the pipeline to determine the false positives, negatives, and limits of detection.



Usage Examples

Error Domain: algorithmic error

- Descriptive of errors that originate by:
 - fuzziness of the algorithms
 - driven by stochastic processes in dynamically parallelized multi-threaded executions
 - in machine learning methodologies where the state of the machine can affect the outcome.
- This can be measured by:
 - re-running analysis on random subset of the data
 - modeling of accumulated errors to generate confidence values.
- For example, bootstrapping is frequently used with stochastic simulation-based algorithms to estimate statistically significant variability for the results.

"algorithmic_error": { "AthenaFRSCORE_threshold": 0.5, "AthenaQUALITY": 25, "AthenaCOVERAGE": 5000-





Verification Kit



The IO and Error Domain compose the VERIFICATION KIT

Purpose: to demonstrate the accuracy of NGS data analysis workflow

Includes:

- A small set of input and output files
- Complete BCO with Error
 Domain

Yields:

- An easy way to verify a pipeline for replication
- Confidence in results reported by pipeline



Usage Examples

Extension Domain

[Keeney]



Usage Examples

Use Case Gathering



8 Top Level Domains

Provenance Domain: Metadata describing the BCO

Usability Domain: Free text field for researcher to explain the analysis and relevant details.

Extension Domain: User-defined fields

Description Domain: Steps of the analysis, external resources needed for the steps, and the relationship of I/O objects

Execution Domain: Information about the environment in which the analysis was run

Parametric Domain: Records any parameters that were changed from default values

Input and Output Domain: A list of global input and output files

Error Domain: Used for describing errors. Can include the limits of detectability, false positives, false negatives, statistical confidence of outcomes, and description of errors

Required

Optional



- (e.g. "FDA_00001" or "GWU_01A")
- » Use Extension Domains to ask for more project specific information
- » Use Verification Kit to quickly check validity of results
- Steps that do not transform data (e.g. column sorting) can be described in the Usability Domain instead of as a full step in the Description Domain, at the Reviewer's discretion
- » Use IO Domain as a manifest for all data files



Resources:

Website: https://biocomputeobject.org/

Official Standard: <u>https://standards.ieee.org/content/ieee-standards/en/standard/2791-2020.html</u> Open source repository: <u>https://opensource.ieee.org/2791-object/ieee-2791-schema</u>

Contact: keeneyjg@gwu.edu, hadley_king@gwu.edu, janishapatel@gwu.edu, mazumder@gwu.edu

Use-Case Examples



Use-case gathering

The way that information is captured will depend on the environment the analysis is run in. As a Reviewer, what is the best format for representing file structure?

What are the "best practices?"

• E.g. for a spike-in study with multiple versions of the same pipeline, do you prefer multiple BCOs that reference each other? Or a single BCO?

How are manual QC steps represented?

How are files represented in Command Line?

https://hive.biochemistry.gwu.edu/confluence/display/BUW/BioCompute+Workshop

Use-case gathering





Thank you!

Your time and feedback are greatly appreciated. Project specific feedback will be hosted here:

<u>https://hive.biochemistry.gwu.edu/confluence/display/BUW/BioCompute+Workshop</u>



Jonathon Keeney, Ph.D. Assistant Research Professor The George Washington University <u>keeneyjg@gwu.edu</u>

